

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

	- 1
STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	_ //
ates Patent and Trademark Office	
MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	1
. Box 1450	مسنة
xandria, Virginia 22313-1450	$\mathcal{A}$
w.uspto.gov	/1
	′ I
	_ /

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,068	04/21/2000	Sai V. Allavarpu	5181-61100	6633
7	590 11/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert C Kowert			HU, JINSONG	
Conley Rose & Tayon PC				
P O Box 398	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 7	8767		2154	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/557,068	ALLAVARPU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jinsong Hu	2154	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Therefinal recondit	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
_	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [	_ ' ' ' ' '
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  will not be entered or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-45</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: \frac{1}{2} \tag{1}{2}
	VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument is not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.